

## REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration of the rejections and objections contained in the Office Action of August 22, 2005 is respectfully requested.

By the above amendments, only claims 20-24 remain in the present application. Each of claims 21-24 has been amended to depend from claim 20. Further, a grammatical error has been corrected in claim 23.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 2-8, 10-11, 17-19 and 21-24 over either Nakajima et al. or Nakajima et al. in combination with MATSUSHITA DENKI SAGYO, both references having been discussed in the previous response. However, these rejections have been rendered moot by the above amendments. The Examiner further indicated the allowability of claim 20, which has now been redrafted into independent form. Claims 21-24 all depend from claim 20. Thus, all of the claims not pending in the present application should clearly be in condition for allowance. Indication of such is respectfully requested.

However, the above should not be taken as acquiescence to the positions taken by the Examiner in the final Office Action.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner is requested to pass the case to issue. If the Examiner should have any comments or suggestions to help speed the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Daisuke TANAKA et al.

By: 

\_\_\_\_\_  
Nils E. Pedersen  
Registration No. 33,145  
Attorney for Applicants

NEP/dib  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
October 24, 2005